arXiv:1512.01605v1 [math.DS] 5 Dec 2015

EFFECTIVE METHODS ON DETERMINING THE PERIODICITY AND FORM OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME SYSTEMS OF NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

JULIUS FERGY T. RABAGO

Abstract. Recently, various systems of nonlinear difference equations, of different forms, were studied. In this existing work, two earlier published papers, due respectively to Bayram and Daş [Appl. Math. Sci. (Ruse), **4**(7) (2010) pp. 817–821] and Elsayed [Fasciculi Mathematici, **40** (2008), pp. 5–13], are revisited. The results exhibited in these previous investigations are re-examined through a new approach, more theoretical and explanative compared to the ones offered in these aforementioned works. Furthermore, the qualitative behavior of solutions of a system of nonlinear difference equations of higher-order is investigated through analytical methods. The system, which is considered here, generalizes those that are first presented in [Fasciculi Mathematici, **40** (2008), pp. 5–13] but are treated differently from this pre-existing work. The results delivered here are important, not only for the reason that they provide theoretical explanations on several earlier results, but also because the system being studied can be use to model real-life phenomena exhibiting periodic behaviors.

1. Introduction

Sequences often arise from recursion relations. Difference equations, which have been of great interest in previous decades, are known to fall in this category. In fact, this type of equation has attracted the attentions of many mathematicians in recent years. This curiosity, as we have witnessed, continuous to grow as more fascinating results are obtained and presented in recent studies. Interestingly, in some cases, difference equations may be solve in closed-forms (see, e.g., [34, 37, 38, 39, 40]). Moreover, in some instances, the solutions of these equations may be shown to be periodic. In regards to this intriguing behavior, we say that a solution of a given difference equation, say $x_{n+1} = f(x_{,}x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-k})$ (which is of order $k+1$), is *periodic* or *eventually periodic* with period $p > 0$ if we can find an integer $N \geq -k$ such that the relation $x_{n+p} = x_n$ holds for all $n \geq N$ (cf. [18]).

Difference equations may be classified as *linear* and *nonlinear* types. A classical example of a linear type is the well-known Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\} := \{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, \ldots\}$ (cf. [24]) which has been generalized by many authors in various forms (see, for instance, the so-called Horadam sequence [21, 26, 27]). Quite recently, these sequences have been applied in the study of functional and differential equations (see [34, 35, 36], and the references therein). On the other hand,

Date: November 18, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 39 A 10; Secondary: 37 B 20.
Key words and phrases. Difference equations, periodicity of solutions, system of equations.
Preprint submitted for publication on November 18, 2015.

nonlinear types may be regarded as ratios of linear difference equations. The simplest example of such type is the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \tag{1}$$

with a real initial value $x_0 \neq 0$. We mention that the n-th term of the Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\}$ is known to be solvable explictly in n, i.e., we may express the n-th term Fibonacci number F_n in Binet's form [41]:

$$F_n = \frac{\phi^n - (-\phi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.61803398874\ldots$ denotes the widely known *golden ratio*. Clearly, the Fibonacci sequence is unbounded and grows exponentially to infinity as n increases without bound. In contrast to this behavior, every solutions of the difference equation (1), regardless of its initial value, is periodic with period 2. This is seen easily as follows: since $x_{n+1} = 1/x_n$, then iterating the left hand side one-step lower yields the relation $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$, from which it is evident that the sequence is periodic with periodicity 2. Knowing if a sequence is periodic or not in advance has some sort of advantage in solving systems of difference equations. It will, possibly, lessen ones effort in establishing the form of solutions of these types of equations. For example, if we know for instance that a solution of a difference equation is eventually periodic, starting from some index n_0, with period p and we find it difficult to establish its solution form, then, perhaps, we can at least manually find their forms by iteratively computing term by term their respective forms up to the $n_0 + p$-th term of the solution sequence.

Arguably, difference equations have many applications, not only in various fields of mathematics, but also in related sciences (see, e.g., a very recent book by Mickens [28]). In fact, as it is popularly known, the origin of Fibonacci sequence outside India [25] came from the book *Liber Abaci* (1202) by Leonardo of Pisa from which the sequence is describe as the ideal (biologically unrealistic) growth pattern of rabbits (cf. [24]). Moreover, the sequence which is known to be generated by the difference equation $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ (with initial values $F_0 = F_1 = 1$) has applications in computer algorithm (cf. [25]). They also appear in biological settings, such as branching in trees, *phyllotaxis* or the arrangement of leaves of stem [13], the fruit sprouts of a pineapple [22], the flowering of an artichoke, an uncurling fern and the arrangement of a pine cone's bracts [4], etc. Nonlinear types of difference equations also posses numerous applications in pure mathematics and related sciences. For instance, the Newton's method (or Newton-Raphson's method), which is known as a root-finding algorithm, uses the nonlinear difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

to approximate roots (or zeroes) of real-valued function $f(x) = 0$ (cf. [1]). Here the initial value x_0 is describe as the initial guess or iterate of the iteration process. Other applications in related sciences, such as in Theoretical Biology, also appear in countless literatures (see, e.g., [11, 12, 15] and other related literatures cited therein). In a modeling setting, the two-dimensional *competitive system* of nonlinear

difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{a + y_n}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{b + x_n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

represents the rule by which two discrete competitive populations reproduce from one generation to the next. In this context, the phase variables x_n and y_n denote population sizes during the n-th generation of a given population. The sequence or orbit $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, describes how the populations evolve over time. The system is describe as a competition between the populations because of the fact that the transition function for each population is a decreasing function of the other population size. In [20], Hassell and Comins studied a discrete (difference) single age-class model for two-species competition and investigated the stability properties of its solutions. Evidently, difference equations appear abundantly in nature and have many applications in biology, ecology and epidemiology [23], economy [29], physics (see, e.g., [10]) and so on. Because of its importance in science, these equations will no doubt attract the attentions of many scientists and especially the interest of mathematicians. Another reason which possibly creates greater interest in this topic is the challenge of understanding the behavior of solutions of these types of equations. In fact, some difference equations appear simple in forms but the behavior of their solutions, as we have said, are difficult and sometimes too complex to completely undrestand.

In this study, we aim to describe the qualitative behavior of solutions of some systems of nonlinear difference equations. This investigation is motivated by earlier results in this area, wherein different systems of difference equations have been solved in closed-forms. We have seen that several earlier results concerning the form of solutions of these types of equations were established through the principle of induction, and no further justifications on how these formulae were obtained are stated. Our specific purpose here is to fill these gaps and provide theoretical explanations on how these results can be established analytically. We mention that we did a similar investigation in [33] wherein we studied the system of nonlinear difference equations

$$x_n = \frac{a}{y_{n-p}}, \qquad y_n = \frac{by_{n-p}}{x_{n-q}y_{n-q}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{2}$$

where q is a positive integer with $p < q$, $p \nmid q$, and p is an even number, both a and b are nonzero real constants, and the initial values $x_{-q+1}, x_{-q+2}, \ldots, x_0$, $y_{-q+1}, y_{-q+2}, \ldots, y_0$ are nonzero real numbers. In our previous work [33], we are able to answer completely an open question raised by Yang et al. in [42]. That is, we are able to describe the behavior of solutions of the system (2) when $b = a$, $p < q$, $p \nmid q$ and p is an even number. We have particularly found that every solution of this system when $b = a$, with $p > 0$, is unbounded whenever q is odd. However, a periodic solution of the given system occurs when $\gcd(p, q) > 1$ and $p/\gcd(p, q)$ is odd. In this case, the period of the solution appears to be equal to the least common multiple of p and $2q$. On the other hand, a similar behavior as for the case when q is odd was observed when $\gcd(p, q) > 1$ and $p/\gcd(p, q)$ is even. It is worth mentioning that other related papers also appeared in literature before ours [33], see, for example, Cinar [5], Cinar and Yalçinkaya [6, 7, 8], Cinar et al. [9], Elsayed [16], Iriĉanin and Liu [19], Özban [30, 31, 32] and Yang et al. [43]. With our set objective, we shall revisit two recent studies (see [2] and [17]) and present

more results regarding the topic discussed in these papers. In the first part of our paper, we consider the system of difference equations

$$\text{(Sys. 1)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-k}}{y_{n-k}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

with positive initial values $\{x_i\}_{i=-k}^{0}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=-k}^{0}$. The above system was first studied in [2] by Bayram and Daṣ, wherein they obtained a result regarding the behavior of solution of system Sys. 1:

Theorem 1 (cf. [2])**.** *Let $\{x_i\}_{i=-k}^{0}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=-k}^{0}$ be positive real numbers for some integer $k \geq 1$. Then, every solution of the system Sys. 1 is periodic with period $3(k+1)$.*

The above result was established in [2] in a quite laborious manner. More specifically, the authors [2] were able to show the validity of the above theorem by computing iteratively the form of solutions of the system Sys. 1. Evidently, this method requires much time and effort before one can able to find exactly the periodicity of solution of the given system. One of our object here in this work is to provide an alternative approach in determining the periodicity of periodic solution of system Sys. 1. This approach, as we will see later on, shall be more appreciated because of its simplicity and elegance.

Another recent work which shall be revisited here is due to Elsayed [17]. In [17], Elsayed investigated the behavior of solutions of several instances of the following system of nonlinear difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

He particularly studied the solutions of the above system with (i) $(k, m) = (2r, 0)$, (ii) $(k, m) = (2r-1, 0)$ and (iii) $(k, m) = (2r-1, 1)$ where r is some positive integer. It was shown that the system, with the given cases, have periodic and unbounded solutions depending on some set requirements on the initial values. Most, but not all, of these results was substantiated by Elsayed with the same approach used by Bayram and Daṣ [2]. However, we have found that there is an error in one of the result stated in [17]. Perhaps because the result was not supported by any analytical justifications. Hence, in this work, we shall correct this mistake and offer some theoretical explanations on the results presented in [17].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in the next section (Section 2), we shall provide a theoretical explanation of Theorem 1, which can also be viewed as another way to prove the theorem. In Section 3, we investigate the behavior of solutions of the system of difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

and determine the conditions for which the system will have a periodic or unbounded solutions. In Section 4, we establish, in a way different from Elsayed [17], the form of solutions of the above system when k is odd and $m = 0, 1$ (see systems Sys. 2.3 and Sys. 2.4). For completeness, we shall accompany our results with several illustrations. Finally, in Section 5, we provide a summary of our present work.

Before we further proceed in our work, we note that term sequences and difference equations will be interchangeably use throughout our discussion.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout the proof we denote the solution of system Sys. 1 with $\{(x_n, y_n)\} := \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-k}^{\infty}$. To begin with, we eliminate x_{n+1} in system Sys. 1 to obtain the one-dimensional difference equation

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}y_{n-2k-1}} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad y_{n+1}y_{n-k}y_{n-2k-1} = 1, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Given that the initial values $\{y_i\}_{i=-k}^{0}$ are positive real numbers, we can then take the natural logarithm of both sides of the latter equation yielding the relation

$$\ln y_{n+1} + \ln y_{n-k} + \ln y_{n-2k-1} = 0, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{3}$$

Defining $a_n := \ln y_n$, we can equivalently write (3) as follows

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n-k} + a_{n-2k-1} = 0, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{4}$$

The above relation is obviously a linear recurrence equation of degree $2k+2$. Using the ansatz $a_n = \lambda^n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, equation (4) therefore has the characteristic equation

$$P(\lambda) := \lambda^{2k+2} + \lambda^{k+1} + 1 = 0.$$

Letting $\mu = \lambda^{k+1}$ in $P(\lambda) = 0$, we obtain the transformed equation

$$Q(\mu) = \mu^2 + \mu + 1.$$

Now, it is evident that the complex conjugate roots of $Q(\mu) = 0$ is of order 3 (i.e., if μ^* satisfies $Q(\mu^*) = 0$, then $(\mu^*)^3 = 1$), all of which are found on the unit disk $|z| \leq 1$. This can be seen easily from the fact that the polynomial equation $(\mu - 1)Q(\mu) = \mu^3 - 1 = 0$ has roots $\mu = \exp(2l\pi i/3), l = 0, 1, 2$. It follows that

$$\lambda_l = \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2l\pi i}{3} \right) \right\}^{1/(k+1)}, \qquad l = 0, 1, 2,$$

are the roots of $P(\lambda) = 0$. Clearly, since the roots of $Q(\mu) = 0$ are distinct, then so are the roots of $P(\lambda) = 0$, i.e., all roots of $P(\lambda) = 0$ are simple. Hence, the sequence $\{a_n\}$ has the explicit formula of the form

$$a_n = c_1\lambda_1^n + c_2\lambda_2^n + \ldots + \ldots + c_{k+1}\lambda_{k+1}^n + \ldots + c_d\lambda_d^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \tag{5}$$

for some real numbers $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{d}$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{d}$, $d := 3(k+1)$, are roots of the polynomial equation $P(\lambda) = 0$. With this notation, we see that $\lambda_j^d = 1$, for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. In fact, we have $\lambda_j^{dn+t} = \lambda_j^t$, for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$. So, from formula (5), we have

$$a_{dn+t} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j\lambda_j^{dn+t} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j\lambda_j^t = a_t, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

for all $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$. Thus, the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is periodic of period d. Going back to the relation $a_n = \ln y_n$, we see that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is periodic with period $3(k+1)$. Similarly, $\{x_n\}$ is periodic with the same period. This proves Theorem 1.

Remark 1. *We remark that the proof of Theorem 1 also provides a method for determining the existence of periodic solution of similar system of difference equations reducible to linear recurrence equations. Once that the corresponding characteristic equation of the transformed equation contains a repeated root, then we can argue*

that every solution of the given system is unbounded. This idea shall be later on use to deal with the behavior of solutions of another system of nonlinear difference equations.

3. More on the system $x_{n+1} = 1/y_{n-k},\ y_{n+1} = y_{n-k}/(x_{n-m}y_{n-m})$

Let k and m be fixed positive integers. In this section we consider the system of difference equations

$$\text{(Sys. 2)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

with nonzero real initial conditions $\{x_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$, where $\nu = \max\{k, m\}$. Hereafter, we denote the solution of the above system by $\{(x_n, y_n)\} := \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{m=-\nu}^{\infty}$. Some particular cases of Sys. 2 were first studied in [17]:

$$\text{(Sys. 2.1)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-2r}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-2r}}{x_n y_n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

$$\text{(Sys. 2.2)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-2r}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-2r}}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

$$\text{(Sys. 2.3)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-2r-1}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-2r-1}}{x_n y_n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

$$\text{(Sys. 2.4)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-2r-1}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-2r-1}}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

where r are some positive integer. It was shown by Elsayed in [17] that all solutions to system Sys. 2.1 are periodic with period $4r+2$, while he stated without proof that all solutions to system Sys. 2.2 are periodic with period $(2p+2)(2r+1)$ when $2p \neq r$ and periodic with period $(4r+2)$ when $2p = r$. Elsayed also showed in [17] that when $x_0y_0 = 1$, then all solutions of system Sys. 2.3 are periodic with period $(2r + 2)$. Meanwhile, if $x_0y_0 \neq 1$ in system Sys. 2.3, then the solutions are unbounded. This latter result is seen to be a consequence of the form of solutions of system Sys. 2.3 established in the paper. Finally, he had shown in [17] that every solution to system Sys. 2.4 are also periodic of period $2r + 2$ when $x_0y_0 = x_{-1}y_{-1} = 1$ and are unbounded when either $x_0y_0 \neq 1$ or $x_{-1}y_{-1} \neq 1$. The latter statement of this last-mentioned result, however, is erroneous, but can be fixed with additional conditions on k, as we shall see later on in our forthcoming discussion.

We emphasize that the results regarding the periodicity of periodic solutions of systems Sys. 2.1–Sys. 2.4 were all established in [17] through the same approach used by Bayram and Daş [2]. That is, by iteratively computing for the value of each x_n (resp. y_n) until they arrive at a relation showing that $x_n = x_{n+p}$ (resp. $y_n = y_{n+p}$) for some integer $p > 0$.

In the sequel we shall determine the periodicity of periodic solutions of systems Sys. 2.1–Sys. 2.4 through the same method we used in proving Theorem 1. We accompany each of our results with illustrative examples where the initial values are randomly taken from the unit interval $(0, 1)$.

Now, since systems Sys. 2.1–Sys. 2.4 are particular cases of Sys. 2, we go directly on the problem of determining the periodicity of periodic solution of system Sys. 2, and then branch out from this system by treating individually all of its possible cases which will naturally arise from the original system.



FIGURE 1. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when $m = k$. In these examples, we have considered the values $m = k \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Notice that the illustrated behavior of solutions are periodic with period $6, 10$ and 14, respectively for each cases $m = k = 2, 3$ and 4.

3.1. **Case $m = k$.** First, we suppose that $m = k$. Hence, we have the system

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-k}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

from which follows that

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n-2k-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

This simply shows that if $m = k$, then every solution to Sys. 2 is periodic with period $2k+2$. Figure 1 illustrates the behavior of solutions of Sys. 2 when $m = k$.

3.2. **Case $m \neq k$.** Suppose now that $m \neq k$ and $x_0 y_0 = 1$. Then, multiplying x_{n+1} and y_{n+1}, we get

$$x_{n+1} y_{n+1} = \left(\frac{1}{y_{n-k}}\right)\left(\frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-m} y_{n-m}}\right) = \frac{1}{x_{n-m} y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

If, in particular, $m = 0$, then the above relation reduces to

$$x_{n+1} y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n y_n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Given that $x_0 y_0 = 1$, then it follows immediately that $x_n y_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Going back to system Sys. 2, we see that when $m = 0$, then

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n-k}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

for any integer $k > 0$. This relation implies that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is periodic with period $k + 1$, which also means that $\{x_n\}$ is periodic with the same period. Thus, every solution to system Sys. 2 when $m = 0$ and $x_0 y_0 = 1$ is periodic with period $k + 1$.

Remark 2. *From our previous discussion, we can recover Elsayed's result for the behavior of solution of system Sys. 2 when $m = 0$ and $k = 2r + 1$ for some integer $r \geq 0$. That is, we see that all solutions of system Sys. 2.3 when $x_0 y_0 = 1$ are indeed periodic with period $2r + 2$ (cf.* [17, Theorem 2]*).*

Remark 3. *In general, if $m > 0$ and $x_i y_i = 1$ for all $i = -m, -m + 1, \ldots, -1, 0$, then system Sys. 2 is periodic with period $k + 1$ (cf.* [17, Theorem 2, Proposition 2–(i)]*).*

Now consider the case when $x_i y_i \neq 1$ for at least one $i \in \{-m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0\}$, then in reference to system Sys. 2, we obtain the relation

$$y_{n+1} y_{n-m} = y_{n-k} y_{n-m-k-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

which can be transformed into

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n-m} - a_{n-k} - a_{n-m-k-1} = 0, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{6}$$

using the equation $a_n := \ln y_n$. The above recurrence equation has the characteristic equation

$$f(\lambda) := (\lambda^{k+1} - 1)(\lambda^{m+1} + 1) = 0,$$

whose roots are given by

$$\lambda_j := \exp\left\{\frac{2j\pi i}{k+1}\right\},\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, k, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\lambda}_l := \exp\left\{\frac{(2l+1)\pi i}{m+1}\right\},\ l = 0, 1, \ldots, m.$$

Evidently, all roots of $f(\lambda) = 0$ will be simple if the inequality

$$2j(m+1) \neq (2l+1)(k+1) \tag{7}$$

holds for each $j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Henceforth, we consider several cases.

CASE 1: Suppose k is even. Then, obviously, inequality (7) holds true for each $j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, all roots of Sys. 1 are simple, and the n-th term a_n of the sequence satisfying the recurrence relation 6 has the explicit formula of the form

$$a_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j \lambda_j^n + \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \hat{c}_l \hat{\lambda}_l^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

for some real numbers $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{k+1}, \hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \ldots$ and $\hat{c}_{m+1}$. Since all roots of $f(\lambda) = 0$ lie on the unit disk $|z| \leq 1$, and $\lambda_j^{k+1} = 1$ for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ and $\hat{\lambda}_l^{m+1} = -1$ for each $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, then arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$a_{2(k+1)(m+1)n+t} = a_t, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

for all $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2(k+1)(m+1) - 1\}$. The above equation clearly indicates that when k is even, then every solutions of the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is periodic with period $2(k+1)(m+1)$. Thus, every solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system Sys. 2 when k is even is periodic with period $2(k+1)(m+1)$. Some illustrations for this case are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4.

Remark 4. *We emphasize that the result we obtain here in this case regarding the periodicity of periodic solution of system Sys. 2 agrees with* [17]*. More precisely, we have validated in our previous discussion Elsayed's claim in* [17, Proposition]*. We also remark that, as an immediate consequence, every positive solution of system Sys. 2.1 is periodic with period $4r + 2$ (cf.* [17, Theorem 1]*).*



FIGURE 2. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when $(m, k) = (2, 4)$ (upper plot) and $(m, k) = (4, 6)$ (lower plot), respectively. Observe in these two plots that the illustrated behavior of solutions of these examples are periodic with period 30 and 70, respectively.



FIGURE 3. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when $m = 0$ for $k = 2, 4$, (respectively, upper and lower plot). In these cases, the solutions are periodic with period 6 and 10, respectively.

CASE 2: Suppose k is odd and m is even, i.e., $k = 2r + 1$ and $m = 2s$ for some integers $r, s > 0$. Then, from inequality (7), we must have

$$2j(2s + 1) \neq (2l + 1)(2r + 2) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad j(2s + 1) \neq (2l + 1)(r + 1)$$



FIGURE 4. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when m is odd (in particular, $m = 3$) for $k = 2, 4, 6$, (respectively, upper, middle and lower plot). Evidently, the solutions are periodic with period $2(k+1)(m+1) = 6(k+1) = 24, 30, 56$, respectively for $k = 2, 4, 6$.

for each $j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where r and s are fixed positive integers, for $f(\lambda) = 0$ to have simple roots. However, the equation $j(2s+1) = (2l+1)(r+1)$ always holds by choosing $j = r+1$ and $l = s$. This implies that $f(\lambda) = 0$ always has a root of order two. Without loss of generality (WLOG), suppose λ_1 is a root of order two and let $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1$. Then, the explicit formula for a_n takes the form

$$a_n = c_1\lambda_1^n + \hat{c}_1 n\hat{\lambda}_1^n + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} c_j\lambda_j^n + \sum_{l=2}^{m+1} \hat{c}_l\hat{\lambda}_l^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

In order to show that every solution of the system is non-periodic (i.e., has an unbounded solution), it suffices to prove that it has a subsequence that tends to infinity (or perhaps, converges to zero). Since k and m are of different parity, then $\gcd(k, m) = 1$ which in turn implies that

$$\lambda_j^{(k+1)(m+1)} = \hat{\lambda}_l^{(k+1)(m+1)} = 1,$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k+1$ and $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1$, respectively. Moreover, we have

$$a_{(k+1)(m+1)n} = c_1 + \hat{c}_1(k+1)(m+1)n + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} c_j + \sum_{l=2}^{m+1} \hat{c}_l, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Suppose (WLOG) that $\hat{c} > 0$, then it follows that $a_{2(k+1)(m+1)n}$ is increasing for all $n \geq N$ for some sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In fact, for all $n \geq N$, we'll have

$$a_{(k+1)(m+1)n} \longrightarrow \infty \qquad \text{as} \qquad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the subsequence $\{a_{(k+1)(m+1)n}\}$ tends to infinity as n increases. Therefore, the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is unbounded. Going back to the relation $a_n = \ln y_n$, we conclude that there is a subsequence of $\{y_n\}$ (resp. $\{x_n\}$) which tends to infinity (resp.

converges to zero) exponentially. This implies that every solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system Sys. 2 is unbounded, and therefore nonperiodic when k is odd and m is even.



FIGURE 5. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when k is odd and m is even. Observe from the upper plot that the illustrated solution of the system starts to increase after $N = 50$, while it is already evident in the lower plot that the particular solution of the system is exponentially increasing.

Remark 5. *We mention that Elsayed's result in* [17, Theorem 2–(ii)] *is a consequence of our previous result. In particular, when* $x_0 y_0 \neq 1$, *and* $k = 2r + 1$ *and* $m = 0$ *(which is exactly system Sys. 2.3), then all solutions of system Sys. 2 are unbounded.*

CASE 3: Suppose k and m are both odd, i.e., $k = 2r + 1$ and $m = 2s + 1$ for some integers $r, s > 0$. Hence, we require

$$2j(2s+2) \neq (2l+1)(2r+2) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 2j(s+1) \neq (2l+1)(r+1)$$

to hold for each $j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where r and s are fixed positive integers, so that $f(\lambda) = 0$ will have simple roots. However, the equation $2j(s+1) = (2l+1)(r+1)$ may hold true by choosing $j = (r+1)/2$ and $l = s/2$, or perhaps, when $2(s+1) = r+1$. The former statement is only possible when r is odd and s is even. So, in contrary, if r is even or s is odd, and $2(s+1) \neq r+1$, then all roots of the equation $f(\lambda) = 0$ are simple. Arguing as in the previous case, we conclude that if $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is a solution to system Sys. 2 with k and m both odd, then one the following statements are true:

(i) if $k \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, or $2(m+1) = k+1$, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is unbounded, i.e. system Sys. 2 will never have a periodic solution.

(ii) if $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $m \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, and $2(m+1) \neq k+1$, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is periodic with period equal to the least common multiple of $k+1$ and $2(m+1)$.

The periodicity we mention in statement (ii) follows from the fact that $\hat{\lambda}_l^{m+1} = -1$ for all $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ and hence, $\hat{\lambda}_l^{2(m+1)} = 1$. However, since $k+1$ is even, then it suffices to take the least common multiple of $k+1$ and $2(m+1)$, say ρ, to have $\hat{\lambda}_l^{\rho} = 1$ for each $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m$.



FIGURE 6. The above figures illustrate the behavior of solutions of system Sys. 2 when k and m are both odd. Referring to the upper plot, we see that when $k = 3 \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ we'll have an unbounded solution. This agrees with the conclusion in Case 3–(i). On the other hand, it is evident that $k = 5$ and $m = 1$ satisfies the conditions in Case 3–(ii), so we see that the solution illustrated on the lower plot is periodic with period 12 which exactly equates to $\text{lcm}(6, 2)$, where $\text{lcm}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the least common multiple of two numbers.

Remark 6. *Elsayed stated in* [17, Proposition 2–(ii)] *that every solution of system Sys. 2 when k is odd and $m = 1$ (cf. system Sys. 2.4) is unbounded. However, a counterexample (refer to* Figure (6)*, lower plot) would show that this statement is not true in general.*

We now summarize our previous discussion in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let m and k be any positive integers and consider the system of nonlinear difference equations Sys. 1, with positive initial conditions $\{x_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$, where $\nu := \max\{k, m\}$. Then, a solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ to Sys. 2 behaves accordingly as follows:*

(i) *if $m = k$, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ is periodic with period $2k+2$.*
(ii) *If $m \neq k$ and $x_i y_i = 1$ for all $i = -m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0$, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ is periodic with period $k+1$.*
(iii) *If $m \neq k, x_i y_i \neq 1$ for at least one $i \in \{-m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0\}$, and*
  (a) *k is even, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ is periodic with period $2(k+1)(m+1)$.*
  (b) *k is odd while m is even, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ is unbounded.*

(c) $k \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ *and* $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, *or* $2(m+1) = k+1$, *then* $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ *is unbounded.*
(d) $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *or* $m \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, *and* $2(m+1) \neq k+1$, *then* $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=-\nu}^{\infty}$ *is periodic with period equal to the least common multiple of* $k+1$ *and* $2(m+1)$.

4. Forms of Solutions of Systems Sys. 2.3 and Sys. 2.4

Here we find a closed-form solution of systems Sys. 2.3 and Sys. 2.4. We established these expressions through an analytical approach and not with the usual induction method, thus providing a theoretical explanation on Elsayed's result presented in [17, Theorem 2–(ii) and Proposition 2–(ii)]. We only consider the case when all initial values are positive real number. The method, however, can be followed inductively to extend the results to the more general case.

To have an idea about the form of solution of systems Sys. 2.3 and Sys. 2.4, we state in advance the following results:

Theorem 3 ([17]). *Let* $x_0, y_{-(2r+1)}, \ldots, y_{-1}$, *and* y_0 *be nonzero real numbers such that* $A := x_0 y_0 \neq 1$. *Then, every solution of system Sys. 2.3 takes the form*

$$x_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{A^n}{y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ \dfrac{1}{A^n y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r+2,$$

and

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_s}{A^n}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ A^n y_s, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, 0,$$

for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Theorem 4 ([17]). *Let* $x_{-1}, x_0, y_{-(2r+1)}, \ldots, y_{-1}$, *and* y_0 *be nonzero real numbers such that* $A := x_0 y_0 \neq 1$ *or* $B := x_{-1} y_{-1} \neq 1$. *Suppose that the conditions in (iii)-(d) are satisfied. Then, the solution of system Sys. 2.4 takes the form*

$$x_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{B^{n \sin\{s\pi/2\}}}{y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ \dfrac{A^{n \cos\{(s+2)\pi/2\}}}{y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r+2,$$

and

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_s}{B^{n \sin\{s\pi/2\}}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ \dfrac{y_s}{A^{n \cos\{(s+2)\pi/2\}}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, 0,$$

for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Remark 7. *As we have mentioned before, Theorem 3 has already been proven in* [17] *through induction method. On the other hand, Theorem 4 can be compared with* [17, Proposition 2] *but we emphasize that the conclusion that every solution when* k *is odd (with no further conditions on* k*) is unbounded is not correct. However, this was easily fixed in Theorem 2 by imposing additional conditions on* k *and* m.

The solution, as we saw from (iii)-(d) in Theorem 2, will only be periodic if $k \neq m$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *or* $m \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, *and* $2(m+1) \neq k+1$.

Consider the product

$$x_{n+1}y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{8}$$

Observe that if $x_j y_j$ is positive for each $j = -m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0$, then so is $x_n y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we may take the logarithm of both sides of equation (8). That is, upon letting $a_n := \ln x_n y_n$, we obtain the one-dimensional linear difference equation

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n-m} = 0, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Clearly, using the ansatz $a_n = \lambda^n$, the above recurrence relation has the characteristic equation

$$g(\lambda) := \lambda^{m+1} + 1 = 0.$$

Now in the succeeding discussion we offer a theoretical approach to Theorems 3 and 4.

Proof to Theorem 3. If $m = 0$, then we obtain the polynomial equation $g(\lambda) = \lambda + 1 = 0$. Hence, $\lambda = -1$. So the sequence $\{a_n\}$ has the explicit formula given by

$$a_n = \text{const.} \times (-1)^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

where the constant must be equal to a_0. Since $\ln x_n y_n = a_n$, then we have

$$x_n y_n = \{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

But, $x_n = 1/y_{n-k-1}$, so

$$y_n = \frac{\{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^n}}{x_n} = \{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^n} y_{n-k-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Replacing n by $(k+1)n + s$ in the above equation, we get

$$y_{(k+1)n+s} = \{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^{(k+1)n+s}} y_{(k+1)(n-1)+s}, \qquad n, s = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Iterating the left hand side of the above equation and then substituting k by $2r+1$, we obtain

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = \{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^s n} y_s, \qquad n, s = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Evidently, the above form of solution for $y_{(2r+2)n+s}$ can be equivalently express as

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_s}{A^n}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd,} \\ A^n y_s, & \text{when } s \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, -1, 0.$$

The desired form, on the other hand, for $x_{(2r+2)n+s}$ follows from the relation $x_n = \{x_0 y_0\}^{(-1)^s n}/y_n$.
This proves Theorem 3. □

Now we turn on the proof of Theorem 4. In this part, we take into account our results in Theorem 2–(iii). Particularly, the result we stated in case (c) and (d). In the proof, we assume that $k \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ so that the first condition in (iii)-(c) of Theorem 2 is satisfied.

Proof to Theorem 4. If $m = 1$, then $g(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ which has two complex conjugate roots $\lambda_\pm = \pm i$. Since the two roots are evidently distinct, then a_n can be express as

$$a_n = c_1 i^n + c_2(-i)^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

where (c_1, c_2) is the solution of the system $c_1 + c_2 = a_0$ and $c_1 i - c_2 i = a_1$, i.e.,

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 - ia_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad c_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + ia_1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{(a_0 - ia_1)i^n + (a_0 + ia_1)(-i)^n\right\} \\ &= a_0 \frac{i^n + (-i)^n}{2} + a_1 \frac{i^{n-1} + (-i)^{n-1}}{2}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\xi(n) := \frac{i^n + (-i)^n}{2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ -1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4, \end{cases} \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Hence,

$$a_n = a_0 \xi(n) + a_1 \xi(n-1), \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Now, since $a_0 = \ln x_0 y_0 =: \ln A$ and $a_1 = \ln x_1 y_1 = -\ln x_{-1} y_{-1} =: -\ln B$, then we have

$$x_n y_n = A^{\xi(n)} B^{-\xi(n-1)}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Because $x_n = 1/y_{n-k-1}$, then the above relation is equivalent to

$$y_n = A^{\xi(n)} B^{-\xi(n-1)} y_{n-k-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{9}$$

Replacing n by $(2r+2)n + s$, we get

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = A^{\xi((2r+2)n+s)} B^{-\xi((2r+2)n+s-1)} y_{(2r+2)(n-1)+s}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{10}$$

We consider two possibilities for n as follows:

POSSIBILITY 1: If n is even, say $n = 2N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\xi(4(r+1)N + s) = \xi(s)$. So, iterating the left hand side (LHS) of (10) with $n = 2N$, we get

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = A^{n\xi(s)} B^{-n\xi(s-1)} y_s.$$

Hence, if $n = 2N$, we have the formula

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} y_s B^{-n\xi(s-1)}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ A^{n\xi(s)} y_s, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, -1, 0.$$

Here follows that

$$x_{(2r+2)n+s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{B^{n\xi(s-1)}}{y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd}, \\ \dfrac{A^{-n\xi(s)}}{y_{-(2r+2)+s}}, & \text{when } s \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \qquad s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r+2.$$

It can be verified easily by induction that the following identities hold:

$$\xi(s) = -\cos\left\{\frac{(s+2)\pi}{2}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(s-1) = \sin\left\{\frac{s\pi}{2}\right\}$$

for all $s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, -1, 0$. Using these identities, we get the desired form for $y_{(2r+2)n+s}$ and $x_{(2r+2)n+s}$ as in Theorem 4 with n even.

POSSIBILITY 2: Now suppose that n is odd, i.e., $n = 2N+1$, then

$$\xi((2r+2)(2N+1)+s) = \xi(2r+2+s).$$

Iterating the LHS of equation (10) as we did in the previous case, we obtain

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} = A^{n\xi(2r+2+s)}B^{-n\xi(2r+1+s)}y_s. \tag{11}$$

Replacing s by $-(2r+2)+s$, we get

$$y_{(2r+2)(n-1)+s} = A^{n\xi(s)}B^{-n\xi(s-1)}y_{-(2r+2)+s}. \tag{12}$$

However, from equation (11), letting $n = 1$ and replacing s by $-(2r+2)+s$, we'll have the relation

$$y_s = A^{\xi(s)}B^{-\xi(s-1)}y_{-(2r+2)+s} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad y_{-(2r+2)+s} = A^{-\xi(s)}B^{\xi(s-1)}y_s.$$

Substituting this latter expression for $y_{-(2r+2)+s}$ in equation (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{(2r+2)(n-1)+s} &= A^{n\xi(s)}B^{-n\xi(s-1)}A^{\xi(s)}B^{-\xi(s-1)}y_s \\ &= A^{(n-1)\xi(s)}B^{-(n-1)\xi(s-1)}y_s, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $n-1 = (2N+1)-1 = 2N$, i.e., $n-1$ is even. Thus, going back to the previous possibility considered, we get the desired result. This proves the second case, completing the proof of Theorem 4. □

In what follows, we established the form of solutions of system Sys. 2.4 wherein the conditions in (iii)-(d) of Theorem 2 are satisfied, i.e., we find the closed-form solution of the system

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, , \tag{Sys. 2.5}$$

with $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (with $k \neq m = 1$).

So, suppose $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (with $k \neq m = 1$). Then, obviously $2(m+1) \neq k+1$ (since $m = 1$ and $k+1 = 4k'+2$ for some $k' \in \mathbb{N}$). Note that the conditions we imposed on k agree with that of (iii)-(d) of Theorem 2. Hence, every solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=\nu}^{\infty}$ of system Sys. 2.5 with $m = 1$ and $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ is periodic with period equal to $\text{lcm}(k+1, 2(m+1)) = (4k'+2, 4) = 4(2k'+1)$. Having this idea in mind, we can explicitly obtain the solutions $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in closed-form. To do this, we use the fact that the solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ are periodic with period $4(2k'+1)$ and that $\max\{k, m\} = 4k'+1$ so as to obtain the relations:

$$y_{n+(4k'+2)} = y_{n-(4k'+2)} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n+(4k'+2)} = x_{n-(4k'+2)}, \qquad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

However, with reference to system Sys. 2.5, we have

$$x_n = \frac{1}{y_{n-(4k'+2)}} = y_{n+(4k'+2)} \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = \frac{1}{x_{n+(4k'+2)}} = \frac{1}{x_{n-(4k'+2)}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Once again, from equation Sys. 2.5, we have

$$y_{n+(4k'+2)} = \frac{y_n}{x_{n+(4k'+2)-2}y_{n+(4k'+2)-2}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

But, in view of the equation $x_{n+1}y_{n+1} = 1/(x_n y_n)$, we have the relation

$$x_n y_n = x_{n-2}y_{n-2}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

which means that the product sequence $\{x_n y_n\}_{n=\nu}^{\infty}$ is periodic of period 2. Thus, the closed-form solutions of system Sys. 2.5 with $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ can now be formally stated as follows.

Theorem 5. *Let $x_{-1}, x_0, y_{-(2r+1)}, \ldots, y_{-1}$, and y_0 be nonzero real numbers such that $A := x_0y_0 \neq 1$ or $B := x_{-1}y_{-1} \neq 1$. Furthermore, let $k = 4k' + 1$ for some $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that the conditions in (iii)-(d) are satisfied. Also, defined $\Omega_1 := \{1, 2, \ldots, 4k' + 2\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{4k' + 3, 4k' + 4, \ldots, \rho := 4(2k' + 1)\}$. Then, every solution of system Sys. 2.5 takes the form*

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{y_{-(4k'+2)+s}}, & \text{if } n \pmod \rho \in \Omega_1, \\ \dfrac{A^{\xi(s)}B^{-\xi(s-1)}}{y_{-(4k'+2)+s}}, & \text{if } n \pmod \rho \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_{-(4k'+2)+s}}{A^{-\xi(s)}B^{\xi(s-1)}}, & \text{if } n \pmod \rho \in \Omega_1, \\ y_{-(4k'+2)+s}, & \text{if } n \pmod \rho \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

where $s \equiv n \pmod{4(2k' + 1)}$, for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

To illustrate our previous result, we consider the system

$$\text{(Sys. 2.6)} \qquad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-5}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-5}}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, ,$$

with real initial values $x_{-5}, x_{-4}, x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, y_{-5}, y_{-4}, y_{-3}, y_{-2}, y_{-1}$ and y_0. So, in view of Theorem 5, the solutions of system Sys. 2.5 are given as follows:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{y_{-6+s}}, & \text{if } n \pmod{12} \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \\ \dfrac{\{x_0y_0\}^{\xi(s)}\{x_{-1}y_{-1}\}^{-\xi(s-1)}}{y_{-6+s}}, & \text{if } n \pmod{12} \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 0\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y_{-6+s}}{\{x_0y_0\}^{-\xi(s)}\{x_{-1}y_{-1}\}^{\xi(s-1)}}, & \text{if } n \pmod{12} \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \\ y_{-6+s}, & \text{if } n \pmod{12} \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $s \equiv n \pmod{12}$, for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. That is, every solution of system Sys. 2.6 takes the following form:

$$\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{\frac{1}{y_{-5}}, \frac{1}{y_{-4}}, \frac{1}{y_{-3}}, \frac{1}{y_{-2}}, \frac{1}{y_{-1}}, \frac{1}{y_0}, \frac{x_{-1}y_{-1}}{y_{-5}}, \frac{x_0y_0}{y_{-4}}, \frac{1}{x_{-1}y_{-1}y_{-3}}, \frac{1}{x_0y_0y_{-2}}, x_{-1}, x_0, \ldots\right\}$$

and

$$\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{\frac{y_{-5}}{x_{-1}y_{-1}}, \frac{y_{-4}}{x_0y_0}, x_{-1}y_{-1}y_{-3}, x_0y_0y_{-2}, \frac{1}{x_{-1}}, \frac{1}{x_0}, y_{-5}, y_{-4}, y_{-3}, y_{-2}, y_{-1}, y_0, \ldots\right\}.$$

The next two results are immediate consequences of Theorems 3 and 4, respectively.

Corollary 6. *Let $x_0, y_{-(2r+1)}, \ldots, y_{-1}$, and y_0 be nonzero real numbers such that $A := x_0 y_0 \neq 1$. Then, every solution of system Sys. 2.3 are unbounded.*

Proof Suppose (WLOG) that $A > 1$. Then, from Theorem 3, we have

$$x_{(2r+2)n+s} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{when } s \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2r+2,$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$y_{(2r+2)n+s} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } s \text{ is odd,} \\ \infty, & \text{when } s \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad s = -2r-1, -2r, \ldots, 0,$$

from which it is evident that the solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system Sys. 2.3 is unbounded.

Corollary 7. *Let $x_{-1}, x_0, y_{-(2r+1)}, \ldots, y_{-1}$ and y_0 be nonzero real numbers such that $A := x_0 y_0 \neq 1$ or $B := x_{-1} y_{-1} \neq 1$. Suppose that the conditions in (iii)-(c) are satisfied. Then, every solution of system Sys. 2.4 is unbounded.*

We end our paper with a summary of most important results found here in this investigation.

5. Summary

We have demonstrated analytically that every positive solution of the system

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-k}}{y_{n-k}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

is periodic with period $3(k+1)$. Our result validated, in an alternative approach, the outcome issued by Bayram and Daṣ' (2010) in [2]. We have also established, in an elegant fashion, the behavior of solutions of the system of difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_{n-k}}{x_{n-m} y_{n-m}}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

with nonzero real initial conditions $\{x_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=-\nu}^{0}$, where $\nu = \max\{k, m\}$. As special cases of the latter system, we have confirmed, all except for one result, those that were presented in [17]. Particularly, in contrast to Elsayed's claim in [17, Proposition 2-(ii)], we have substantiated in this work that the given system will have a periodic solution when k is odd and $m = 1$ and if the conditions $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $m \equiv -1 \pmod 4$, and $2(m+1) \neq k+1$ are satisfied. In this instance, we have shown that the periodic solution has periodicity equal to the least common multiple of $k+1$ and $m+1$. The system, as we have justified and theoretically explained, may also have periodic solutions in the following cases:

(i) when $m = k$;
(ii) when $m \neq k$ and $x_i y_i = 1$ for all $i = -m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0$;
(iii) when $m \neq k$ and k is even with $x_i y_i \neq 1$ for at least one $i \in \{-m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0\}$.

In these situations, the solutions are shown to be periodic with periodicity taking value $2k+2$, $k+1$ and $2(k+1)(m+1)$, respectively. On the other hand, the system exhibits unbounded solutions (iv) when k is odd while m is even and $x_i y_i \neq 1$, for at least one $i \in \{-m, -m+1, \ldots, -1, 0\}$, and (v) when $m \neq k$ with $k \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, or $2(m+1) = k+1$. Consequently, more interesting results can be established for other related systems following the idea presented in this work. Thus, in our next study, we continue investigating the behavior of solutions of other related systems of nonlinear difference equations and contribute more in this developing topic.

References

[1] Atkinson KE. An Introduction to Numerical Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1989.

[2] Bayram M, Daş SE. On the positive solutions of the difference equation System $x_{n+1} = 1/y_{n-k}, y_{n+1} = x_{n-k}/y_{n-k}$. *Appl. Math. Sci. (Ruse)*. 2010; **4**(7):817–821.

[3] Basin SL. The Fibonacci sequence as it appears in nature. *Fib Quart.*. 1963; **1**(1):53–56.

[4] Brousseau A. Fibonacci stastics in Conifers, *Fib. Quart.* 1969; **7**:525–532.

[5] Cinar C. On the positive solutions of the difference equation system $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_n}, y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}$. *Appl. Math. Comp.* 2004; **158**:303–305.

[6] Cinar C. Yalçinkaya I. On the positive solutions of the difference equation system $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{z_n}, y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}, z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}$. *Int. Math. J.* 2004; **5**:517–519.

[7] Cinar C. Yalçinkaya I. On the positive solutions of the difference equation system $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{z_n}, y_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{x_{n-1}}, z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}$. *Int. Math. J.* 2004; **5**:525–527.

[8] Cinar C. Yalçinkaya I. On the positive solutions of the difference equation system $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{z_n}, y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}, z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n}$. *J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci.* 2005; **18**:91–93.

[9] Cinar C. Yalçinkaya I. Karatas R. On the positive solutions of the difference equation system $x_{n+1} = \frac{m}{y_n}, y_{n+1} = \frac{py_n}{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}$. *J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci.* 2005; **18**:135–136.

[10] Courant C. Friedrichs K. Lewy H. On the partial difference equations of Mathematical Physics. *IBM Journal*. 1967:215–234.

[11] Cushing JM. LeVarge S. Some discrete competition models and the principle of competitive exclusion. *Diff. Eq. Disc. Dyn. Sys.* 2005. Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference, (L. J. S. Allen, B. Aulbach, S. Elaydi, R. Sacker, editors). World Scientific. pp. 283–302.

[12] Din Q. Dynamics of a discrete Lota-Volterra model. *Adv. Diff. Eq.* 2013; **2013**:95.

[13] Douady S. Couder Y. Phyllotaxis as a dynamical self organizing process. *J. Theor. Bio.* 1996; **178**:255–274.

[14] Elaydi S. An Introduction to Difference Equations. Springer: New York. 1996.

[15] Liu P, Elaydi S. Discrete and competitive and cooperative models of Lotka-Volterra type. *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.* 2001; **3**(1):53–73.

[16] Elsayed EM. On the solutions of higher order rational system of recursive sequences. *Mathematica Balkanica*. 2008; **21**(3–4):287–296.

[17] Elsayed EM. On the solutions of a rational system of difference equations. *Fasciculi Mathematici*. 2010; **45**:25–36.

[18] Grove EA. Ladas G. Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations. Chapman and Hall/CRC. 2005.

[19] Iričanin, BD, Liu W. On a higher-order difference equation. *Disc. Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2010; **2010**. Article ID 891564. 6 pages.

[20] Hassell MP, Comins HN. Discrete time models for two-species competition. *Theoretical Population Biology*. 1976; **9**(2):202–221.

[21] Horadam AF. Basic properties of a certain generalized sequence of numbers. *Fib. Quart.* 1965; **3**:61–176.

[22] Jones J. Wilson. W. *Science: An Incomplete Education*. 2006. Ballantine Books, p. 544.

[23] Kapur JN, Khan QJA. Difference equation models in ecology and epideniology. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Tech.* 1981; **12** (1):19–37.

[24] Koshy T. *Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with applications*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience: New York. 2001.

[25] Knuth D. *The Art of Computer Programming*. Addison Wesly. 1968.
[26] Larcombe PJ, Bagdasar OD, Fennessey EJ. Horadam sequences: a survey. *Bulletin of the I.C.A.* 2013; **67** :49–72.
[27] Lucas E. *Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques*. American Journal of Mathematics, **1** (1878):184–240, 289–321; reprinted as "The Theory of Simply Periodic Numerical Functions", Santa Clara, CA: The Fibonacci Association, 1969.
[28] Mickens RE. Difference Equations: Theory, Applications and Advanced Topics, 3rd ed. Chapman and Hall/CRC. 2015.
[29] Neusser K. Difference Equations for Economists. 2009. `http://www.neusser.ch/ downloads/DifferenceEquations.pdf`
[30] Özban AY. On the positive solutions of the system of rational difference equations $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m}}$. submitted for publication.
[31] Özban AY. On the positive solutions of the system of rational difference equations $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_{n-k}}, y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{x_{n-m}y_{n-m-k}}$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2006; **323**:26–32.
[32] Özban AY. On the system of rational differnece equations $x_{n+1} = \frac{a}{y_{n-3}}, y_{n+1} = \frac{by_{n-3}}{x_{n-q}y_{n-q}}$. *Appl. Math. Comp.* 2007; **188**(1):833–837.
[33] Rabago JFT. Remarks on a solution of a system of rational difference equations. `arXiv:1511.00359v3 [math.DS] 6 Nov 2015`
[34] Rabago JFT. On the closed-form solution of a nonlinear difference equation and another proof to Sroysang's conjecture. submitted for publication.
[35] Rabago JFT. On second-order linear recurrent functions with period k and proofs to two conjectures of Sroysang. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 2015. to appear.
[36] Rabago JFT. On second-order linear recurrent homogenous differential equations with period k. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 2014; **43**(6):923–933.
[37] Touafek N, Elsayed EM. On a second order rational system of difference equations. *Hokkaido Math. J.* 2015; **44**:29–45.
[38] Tollu DT, Yazlik Y, Taskara. On fourteen solvable systems of difference equations. *Appl. Math. Comp.* 2014; **233**:310–319.
[39] Tollu DT, Yazlik Y, Taskara. On the solutions of two special type of Riccati difference equation via Fibonacci numbers. *Adv. Diff. Eq.* 2013; **2013**, Article ID 174.
[40] Tollu DT, Yazlik Y, Taskara. On the solutions of Difference equation systems with Padovan numbers. *Appl. Math.* 2013; **4**:15–20.
[41] Weisstein EW. "Golden Ratio" From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. `http://mathworld.wolfram.com/GoldenRatio.html`
[42] Yang Y, Chen L, Shi Y-G. On solutions of a system of rational difference equations. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*. 2011; **Vol. LXXX**(1):63–70.
[43] Yang X, Liu Y, Bai S. On the system of high order rational difference equations $x_n = \frac{a}{y_{n-p}}, y_n = \frac{by_{n-p}}{x_{n-q}y_{n-q}}$. *Appl. Math. Comp.* 2005; **171**(2):853–856.

Julius Fergy Tiongson Rabago, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of the Philippines Baguio, Baguio City 2600, Benguet, PHILIPPINES

E-mail address: `jfrabago@gmail.com`